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(The research reported here is funded through the Understanding Alaska’s Choices Fund – a final report will be available in November, 2006)
**PROGRAM INTENT**

- To make it possible for small, fisheries dependent communities in the Gulf of Alaska to purchase and fish halibut and sablefish fisheries quota and assist their economies due to loss of IFQs.
  
- Proposed by the Gulf of Alaska Coastal Community Coalition in 2000
  
- Authorized by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council in 2002
  
- Regulations issued by National Marine Fisheries Service in 2004
  
- State legislature authorized loan program through SB 387
IPHC Regulatory Areas in which CFP communities are located
## ELIGIBLE COMMUNITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2B</th>
<th>3A</th>
<th>3B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angoon</td>
<td>Metlakatla</td>
<td>Chignik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffman Cove</td>
<td>Meyers Chuck</td>
<td>Chignik Lagoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig</td>
<td>Pelican</td>
<td>Chignik Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edna Bay</td>
<td>Point Baker</td>
<td>King Cove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elfin Cove</td>
<td>Port Alexander</td>
<td>Perryville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustavus</td>
<td>Port Protection</td>
<td>Sand Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollis</td>
<td>Tenakee Springs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoonah</td>
<td>Thorne Bay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydaburg</td>
<td>Whale Pass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasaan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klawock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Akhiok</td>
<td>Chignik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chenega Bay</td>
<td>Lagoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Karluk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Larsen Bay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nanwalek</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old Harbor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Port Graham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Port Lions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seldovia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tatitlek</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tyonek</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yakutat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ISER RESEARCH ON CFP

* To determine the status of the program in various communities
* To identify issues with the program
* Through questionnaire interviews with key persons in each community and with other organizations (GOACCC, CRRC, SEITC)
* Through interviews with State and Federal officials involved in the CFP program
* Conducted by Dr. Steve J. Langdon and Emilie Springer
* Initiated in June 2006 with ISER “Understanding Alaska’s Communities Fund” monies
ISER RESEARCH FOCUS

* Topics covered in questionnaire
* Awareness of and involvement with program
* Nature of CQE organization
* Funding/financing availability for program
* Planning for catching and processing of quota
* Interest in program in the communities
* Potential economic significance of program
* Issues associated with program implementation
* Suggestions for the program
PROGRAM STATUS

* All but one of the communities expressed awareness of the program; several had limited knowledge or only recent knowledge.

* Over 75% of the communities have considered the program.

* Approximately half of the communities have spent substantial amounts of time and money looking into the program.

* A few communities are not interested for various reasons.

* Nine communities/organizations have been NMFS certified to purchase quota

* CRRC, GOACC and SEITC have made substantial efforts to find a way to make the program work

BOTTOM LINE: NO HALIBUT OR SABLEFISH QUOTA HAS YET TO BE FISHED BY ANY CQE!
PROGRAM ISSUES

* No funds available for direct purchase

* Loan terms are prohibitive:
  Too much match required
  Term for repayment is too short
  Interest rate too high

* Price of quota share is too high to “pencil out”

* Little quota share available to buy

* Treated as business rather than community development

* Limiting regulatory provisions – blocs, skiffs, etc
PROGRAM SUGGESTIONS

* Add additional quota and make direct allocation to CQEs
* Provide $50,000 start-up funding based on plan
* Provide grants, not loans
* Reduce match required
* Provide grace period of 5-7 years for repayment
* Award quota like Bering Sea CDQ program
* Create rural equalization program
* Apprentice program needed as a way to get quota - free up quota to allow entry for apprentices
* Collect small 500 lb unused blocs and assign them to CQP
* Add additional quota and make direct allocation to CQEs
* Provide $50,000 start-up funding based on plan
* Provide grants, not loans
* Reduce match required
* Provide grace period of 5-7 years for repayment
* Award quota like Bering Sea CDQ program
* Create rural equalization program
* Apprentice program needed as a way to get quota - free up quota to allow entry for apprentices
* Collect small 500 lb unused blocs and assign them to CQP
THEMES FROM RESPONDENT COMMENTS

1. NEED FOR EARNINGS OPPORTUNITIES

“We need a method for guys to make money and it’s really important to get guys fishing opportunities. The cost is stopping us; the cost is extremely frustrating.”

Jack Wick, Larsen Bay

“We need this program because we have no form of employment! This would be a great opportunity to get other people in our community and keep our young people.”

Pete Kompkoff, Chenega Bay
2. Need for entry opportunities in fisheries, especially for young people

“Our allocation program provides half the quota for new, younger entrants. It is an extremely important element of the program in our view.”

Brian Templin, Craig

“It would be of interest to young people who haven’t thought of commercial fishing. They would start to look at it as a viable job opportunity. Then, if they like it, they would look into getting their own boat.”

Melanie Green, Nanwalek
3. NEED FOR PROGRAM CHANGE TO MEET OBJECTIVES

“The concept is beautiful but the mechanics are not workable. The program would have to be completely changed in order for it to work.”

Herb Wright, Point Baker

“As it sits now, it is set to fail. The price of IFQ is so great that it is virtually impossible to make money.”

Bill Wilson, Metlakatla
4. FRUSTRATION AND ALIENATION

“[NPFMC council] know the dilemma and they have to do something about it. They need to make it work. But my opinion is that everything is planned to fail for us.”

Jack Muller, Ouzinkie

“People who grew up in the coast communities had salmon, crab, halibut, whatever available to them and should still have it available. It is nor right that we have to buy into this. It is God given for the people who live here.”

Ivan Lukin, Port Lions
Some Observations

* Opportunities for entry participation in fisheries are virtually nonexistent and they are the most available opportunities in villages.

* There are strong small-scale fisheries capabilities in the villages due to deep subsistence heritage of marine resource characteristic of most of them.

* The traditional pattern is for broad participation by many.

* The program was authorized in order to meet National Standard 8 – “take into account the importance of fishery resources to fishing communities in order to (A) provide for the sustained participation of such communities, and (B) to the extent practicable, minimize adverse economic impacts on such communities.”

* The evidence suggests strongly that the Community Quota Program has not fulfilled National Standard 8 and is in need of major modification to accomplish these critical objectives.
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