



Working Group Summary
Blue group

April 23, 2005

Group recommendations

- Immediate organization of coastal communities, in order to form consensus on issues to convey to decision-makers
- Permanent resource allocation to communities
- Strengthen National Standard 8 of MSA

Potential forms of community management

- ‘Community-based’ management (CBFM)
- Co-management
- Territorial management



Considerations for share-based programs

- Create a viable entry-level opportunity (e.g., small communities buying into an already established IFQ program at a high QS price may not be economically feasible)
- Auctions may be a valid method of allocating QS
- Fixed duration of the resource privilege

Considerations for share-based programs continued...

- Acknowledging all participants in the harvesting sector: skippers, crew, communities, vessel owners, etc.
- Provisions for initial recipients of shares to use some portion of benefits to fund new entrants
- Recognize that 'protection' for one community may hinder another (winners and losers from specific provisions)

Process suggestions

- Establish an ‘indigenous panel’ similar to the Council’s Advisory Panel and Science and Statistical Panel
- Adequate representation for community interests in Council and Board of Fisheries actions

Recreational Fisheries: topics discussed

- Reconsideration or elimination of halibut charter IFQ
- Potential for state recreation quota
- Additional state controlled groundfish fisheries